



Re: Fw: propublica story
Roy Seneca to: Betsaida Alcantara
Cc: Terri-A White, Michael Kulik

03/21/2012 03:03 PM

From: Roy Seneca/R3/USEPA/US
To: Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Terri-A White/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Kulik/R3/USEPA/US@EPA

Yes, I am here today.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Neela's question to you is more specific, and perhaps we need to develop a response. Please advise. Thanks. -- Roy

Roy Seneca
EPA Region 3 Press Officer
Office of Public Affairs
seneca.roy@epa.gov
(215) 814-5567

Betsaida Alcantara are you here today? ----- Forwarded by Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US on... 03/21/2012 02:39:55 PM

From: Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US
To: Roy Seneca/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 03/21/2012 02:39 PM
Subject: Fw: propublica story

are you here today?
----- Forwarded by Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US on 03/21/2012 02:39 PM -----

From: "Banerjee, Neela" <neela.banerjee@latimes.com>
To: Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 03/21/2012 02:35 PM
Subject: RE: FW: propublica story

I emailed Roy several hours before I emailed you, and got no reply. That’s why I wrote you. I cant do anything about my deadline.

Neela Banerjee
Energy and Environmental Policy Reporter
Los Angeles Times/Tribune Co.
1090 Vermont Ave. NW
Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20005
202-824-8259--office
202-297-9915--mobile
follow me on Twitter @neelaeast

From: Betsaida Alcantara [mailto:Alcantara.Betsaida@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2012 2:34 PM
To: Banerjee, Neela; Roy Seneca
Subject: Re: FW: propublica story

+ Roy who is handling from the region.

Neela, can you give us more than 2 hours to respond to major points here?
☞ "Banerjee, Neela" ---03/21/2012 02:05:11 PM---Hi, Betsaida, I don’t know if DC or PA is handling, but I'd like to get comment on the main point of

From: "Banerjee, Neela" <neela.banerjee@latimes.com>
To: Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 03/21/2012 02:05 PM
Subject: FW: propublica story

Hi, Betsaida,

I don’t know if DC or PA is handling , but I’d like to get comment on the main point of the ProPub story , ie that the summary reports contradict the pt you guys seemed to make last week, that the water of 11 families is essentially safe to drink . My deadline is in 2 hrs. Thanks.

Thanks
Neela

Neela Banerjee
Energy and Environmental Policy Reporter
Los Angeles Times/Tribune Co.
1090 Vermont Ave. NW
Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20005
202-824-8259--office
202-297-9915--mobile

follow me on Twitter @neelaeast

From: Banerjee, Neela
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2012 12:07 PM
To: 'Roy Seneca'
Subject: propublica story

Hi, Roy,

I hope you're well. Do you guys have a response to this : <http://www.propublica.org/article/so-is-dimocks-water-really-safe-to-drink>

Thanks
Neela

Neela Banerjee
Energy and Environmental Policy Reporter
Los Angeles Times/Tribune Co.
1090 Vermont Ave. NW
Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20005
202-824-8259--office
202-297-9915--mobile
follow me on Twitter @neelaeast